

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
at CHATTANOOGA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 1:09-CR-98
)	
JAMES LAVELLE WILLIAMS)	MATTICE/CARTER

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), I conducted a plea hearing in this case on August 4, 2009. At the hearing, defendant entered a plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment, to the extent that it charges the lesser included offense of conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute five (5) grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (“crack”) in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that the defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment, to the extent that it charges the lesser included offense of conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute five (5) grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (“crack”) in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B), be

accepted, that the Court adjudicate defendant guilty of the lesser included offense set forth in Count One of the Indictment, and that the written plea agreement be accepted at the time of sentencing. I further recommend that defendant remain in custody until sentencing in this matter. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

The defendant's sentencing date is scheduled for **Monday, November 2, 2009, at 9:00 am.**

Dated: August 4, 2009

s/William B. Mitchell Carter
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to *de novo* review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. Failure to file objections within ten days constitutes a waiver of any further right to challenge the plea of guilty in this matter. *See* 28 U.S.C. §636(b).